

General Exceptions : Private defence.(S.96-106)

RECAP:**BASICS:**

1. S.96: RIGHT OF RPD: Tells you when you have a right to private defence. (RPD)
2. S.97 : SCOPE OF RPD: Tells you what **you can defend** i.e., **Your body and Property and others too**. And **sec.99** explicitly enlist certain situations where **you do not have that right of private defence**.
3. Against your body : when you have the right of private defence defined under Section 98.
4. Right of private defence against a deadly assault when there is risk of harm to innocent person Section 106.

	AGAINST BODY	AGAINST PROPERTY
Circumstances Where RPD extend to cause death.	SECTION 100	SECTION 103
Circumstances Where RPD extend to cause Voluntary causing Harm not death.	SECTION 101	SECTION 104
When RPD commences and continues.	SECTION 102	SECTION 105

96. Things done in private defence.—Nothing is an offence which is done in the exercise of the right of private defence.

Introduction :

1. Sections 96 to 106 of the penal code state the law relating to the right of private defence of person and property.
2. The use of force to protect one's property and person is called the right of private defence.

PURPOSE:

- It gives authority to a person to use necessary force against an assailant or wrong-doer for the purpose of protecting **one's own body and property as also another's body and property** when immediate aid from the state machinery is not readily available; and in so doing he is not answerable in law for his deeds.
 - NOT ABSOLUTE : Right of private defence cannot be said to be an offence in return. The right of self-defence under Section 96 is **not absolute** but is clearly qualified by Section 99.
 - BASED ON ENGLISH LAW : Based on English law, sections 96 to 106 of the Indian Penal Code deal with the right of private defence of body and property as administered in India.
 - RPD SHOULD NOT BE MISUSED :
- (1) In Narain Singh v. State of Haryana, It is a right of defence, not of retribution, expected to repel unlawful aggression and not as retaliatory measure**
- (2) In Rajinder v. State, the Supreme Court observed that right of private defence is now recognized in every free, civilized and democratic society. The right is, however, preventive and not punitive.**

TEST OF RPD :

1. To claim right of private defence extending to voluntary causing of death, the accused must show that there were circumstances giving rise to **reasonable grounds for apprehending that either death or grievous hurt would be caused to him**.
2. **There is no right of private defence where there is no apprehension of danger.**

Necessity of averting and impending danger must be present, real or apparent.

3. Elaborating the scope of right of private defence, in Dharam And Ors. vs. State of Haryana, (2007) 15 SCC 241 in paragraphs (18) and (19) it was held as under:-

“ That being so, the necessary corollary is that the violence which the citizen defending himself or his property is entitled to use must not be unduly disproportionate to the injury ...Nonetheless, the exercise of the right of private defence can never be vindictive or malicious. It would be repugnant to the very concept of private defence.

BURDEN OF PROOF LIES ON HIM TO PROVE RPD: It is trite that the burden of establishing the plea of self-defence is on the accused but it is not as onerous as the one that lies on the prosecution.¹

To put it pithily, the right of private defence is a defence right. **It is neither a right of aggression or of reprisal.** There is no right of private defence where there is no apprehension of danger. The right of private defence is available only to one who is suddenly confronted with the necessity of averting an impending danger not of self-creation. Necessity must be present, real or apparent".²

SCOPE AND FOUNDATION OF THE PRIVATE DEFENCE

Right of private defence of person and property is recognized in all free, civilised, democratic societies within certain reasonable limits. Those limits are dictated by two considerations :

- 1) that the same right is claimed by all other members of the society and
- 2) that it is the State which generally undertakes the responsibility for the maintenance of law and order.

The citizens, as a general rule, are neither expected to run away for safety when faced with grave and imminent danger to their person or property as a result of unlawful aggression, nor are they expected, by use of force, to right the wrong done to them or to punish the wrong doer of commission of offences.

10 CORE PRINCIPLES OF RIGHT TO PRIVATE DEFENCE UNDER INDIAN PENAL CODE: VERY IMPORTANT :

1. Self-preservation is the basic human instinct and is duly recognized by the criminal jurisprudence of all civilized countries. All free, democratic and civilized countries recognize the right of private defence within certain reasonable limits.
2. The right of private defence is available only to one who is suddenly con.....

THIS MODULE IS A PART OF REVISION MODULE OF IP 2017.

¹ (see *Munshi Ram v. Delhi Admn.*(AIR 1968 SC 702), *State of Gujarat v. Bai Fatima*((1975) 2 SCC 7) and *Salim Zia v. State of U.P.*(1979) 2 SCC 648).”

² The same view is also expressed in the cases of *Biran Singh vs. State of Bihar*, AIR 1975 SC 87, *Wassan Singh vs. State of Punjab*,(1996) 1 SCC 458, *Sekar alias Raja Sekharan vs. State represented by Inspector of Police, T.N.*, (2002) 8 SCC 354, *Buta Singh vs. State of Punjab*, AIR 1991 SC 1316 and *James Martin vs. State of Kerala*, (2004) 2 SCC 203.

MISSION IAS 2017 : LAW OPTIONAL : LAWXPERTS MV INDIA.

STARTS AT JANUARY 16TH 2017

FEATURES OF LAW OPTIONAL PLAN -2017 :

1. **MICROPLANS** - will tell you what to study :everyday. Daily goals. So that you can complete your law optional syllabus by April 2017.
 - **MICROPLANS FOR 4 WEEKS IS ATTACHED WITH THIS NOTIFICATION.**
2. **TRIO-NOTES** : FOR ALL TOPICS IN UPSC LAW SYLLABUS.
 - i. **UNDERSTANDING NOTES** : To introduce you into any topic. Serves as a primer.
 - ii. **COMPREHENSIVE NOTES** : It will encompass materials, from 5-6 standard and reference books, scientifically researched and arranged in a manner to ignite and prepare the minds of the law optional students to face the UPSC.
 - iii. **REVISION NOTES** : This will give you the gist of the comprehensive notes. This will come in handy just before your mains exams.
 - **BEST THING IS YOU CAN GET THE THREE TYPES OF NOTES : AS PER MICROPLANS.**
 - **NOTES WILL BE UPDATED WITH CURRENT AFFAIRS.**
 - **NOTES WILL CONTAIN PREVIOUS YEAR UPSC QUESTIONS**
3. TEST-SERIES FOR LAW OPTIONAL IS INCLUDED IN THIS PACKAGE :

78% UPSC LAW OPTIONAL QUESTION PAPER 2016 WAS REFLECTED OUR PREMIUM LAW OPTIONAL TEST SERIES 2016.

FEATURES :

1. **TOPIC-WISE**
2. **SUBJECT-WISE**
3. **PAPER-WISE**
4. **FULL-LENGTH TEST (PAPER I & II)**

1. AROUND 12 TESTS WILL BE CONDUCTED.
2. QUESTION PAPER WILL BE IN TUNE WITH *CURRENT AFFAIRS*.
3. SOLUTION WILL BE GIVEN TO YOU RIGHTAWAY.
4. EVALUATION WILL BE DONE WITHIN 48-72 HOURS WITH INDIVIDUAL FEEDBACK FOR EVERY QUESTION.
5. EVALUATION REPORTS WITH *SPECIFIC REMARKS.(SEE APPENDIX FOR MODEL REPORT)*
6. YOU CAN TAKE ANY TEST AT **ANY TIME** UNTIL YOUR LAW OPTIONAL MAINS 2017.

COURSE FEE : 14999 RS.

LAW OPTIONAL PLAN 2017 - PAYMENT LINKS

1. AS ONE-TIME PAYMENT : <https://imjo.in/GtdNWW>
2. You can make payment in 5 installments :
PAYMENT LINK FOR RS: 3000/- <https://imjo.in/2DQ9u5>
3. You can make payment in 3 installments :
PAYMENT LINK FOR RS: 5000/- <https://imjo.in/g8sz4h>
4. You can make payment in 2 installments
PAYMENT LINK FOR RS: 7,500/- : <https://imjo.in/jFsXEz>

ANY QUERIES – FEEL FREE TO CONTACT US :

1. OUR WEBSITE : www.lawxpertsmv.com
2. EMAIL ID : lawxpertsmv@gmail.com
3. GIVE US A CALL BACK REQUEST BY SMS OR EMAIL ID.
 - SMS TO 8903618144 <NAME> SPACE <BEST TIME TO CALL YOU>
 - EMAIL TO lawxpertsmv@gmail.com

LAW OPTIONAL FOR UPSC : FACTS AND MYTHS.

1. *WHY LAW OPTIONAL ?* Law optional, for UPSC, is high scoring with top success rate.
2. *IS LAW SYLLABUS VOLUMINOUS ?* Law is, as any another optional subjects, will have its pros and cons. Its syllabus is vast. No doubt about that. But still UPSC do not want you to mug up case laws or sections in the legislations.
3. *IS LAW OPTIONAL RISKY TO OPT FOR UPSC?* Not at all. Do not believe in myth that law optional is rare. Doubt it ? See for yourself at ANNUAL REPORT OF UPSC 2014–15.
4. *I AM NOT FROM LAW BACKGROUND. SHOULD I CHOOSE LAW ?* Law is a Technical subject. Law for UPSC is NOT TECHNICAL as it seems.
5. *WHY?* Because you are not expected to argue as a legal counsel for your client before the supreme court of India. You are ONLY expected to know the General principles of Law. Also, Ignorance of the law excuses no one.
6. Our modules are, and will be, designed to cater both law and non-law students.

Q3. Answer the following :

- a) “The success and effectiveness of public administration depends essentially on the ability impartiality and honesty of the bureaucrats. As such the responsibility of selecting such type of persons for administrative jobs should be vested on an independent and neutral Public Service Commission”- comment and enumerate the functions of UPSC with emphasis on the importance of the advisory power of UPSC. (25m)

Understanding: The statement above opines about independency and autonomy of UPSC, thus this question first expects to comment about the autonomy of UPSC.

(i) Autonomy of UPSC (10m)

Composition establishment: According to **Act 315** of the constitution of India there shall be a permanent Union Public Service Commission for appointment to the various posts of the central government services. Likewise as **Act 318** of the constitution of India also stated that the Union Public Service Commission will be constituted with a chairman and a fixed number of members; the number of such members and the terms and conditions of their service are to be determined by the President of India. The President, as such, appoints the Chairman and other members of the commission for a period of six years.

Functional autonomy: It is interesting to note that according to Act 317 of the constitution of India, the period of service of the chairman and other members of the Union Public Service Commission is not determined by the pleasure of the executive department of the government of India and as such, they cannot be removed from their positions quite easily.

As per **Art 317(1)** only the President of India can remove these members from their offices under the special charge of corruption and dishonest behaviour by a special measure. The system is, when a special charge against any member of the Union Public Service Commission is made before the President of India about corruption and dishonesty the latter directs the Supreme Court of India to make enquiry on it and the Supreme Court after making the inquiry submits its report to him. If the alleged charge is proved against the member or even the chairman of the commission the President can remove him from his office. **Art. 317(2)** also says that if a member is found insolvent or mentally and physically unfit then also the President can remove him from the post of membership.

Other restrictions to ensure autonomy : The constitution of India has also adopted certain measures to ensure the neutrality and impartiality of the U.P.S.C. The Chairman of the Union Public Service Commission has not been allowed to take any office of profit under the central or any of the state governments after his retirement from service as chairman. Moreover, before the expiry of their term of service the executive cannot remove the Chairman or any of the members of the commission from their service. They can be removed only through the means stipulated in the constitution. Apart from this once these members are appointed the terms and conditions of their services cannot be changed. **Art. 322** declares that the salaries and allowances of these members including the chairman will be considered as expenditure charged upon the consolidated fund of India, which means that their salaries and allowances are not subjected to the approval of the Parliament.

Precautionary Measures in Indian Constitution

In order to prevent the ministers from taking any undue advantages or using the U.P.S.C. for their own personal and party interests, the constitution had taken two precautionary measures.

- First, the government must consult with the Union Public Service Commission regarding the appointment of its employees and the other matters relating to their interest and
- Secondly, if the recommendation or advice of the Union Public Service Commission is not accepted the government is to explain the reason of such non-acceptance to the parliament.

Without the recommendation of the Union Public Service Commission the Government of India can appoint temporarily some employees but such employee cannot be appointed for more than a year. If such employee is to be appointed for more than a year the approval of the U.P.S.C. must have to be taken.

Moreover, if the government does not take the recommendation or advice of the U.P.S.C. or refuse or neglect it, the reasons for it are to be reported to the Parliament.

(ii) Functions of Union Public Service Commission(71/2 m)

Art. 320 of the constitution of India have categorically enumerated the functions of the Union Public Service Commission.

The **first function** of Union Public Service Commission is to recommend for appointment in administrative services the meritorious and prospective young men and women after selecting them through All India competitive examinations.

Secondly, if two or more state governments so request the U.P.S.C. *to assists them in framing and operating schemes of joint recruitment for any service for which candidates possessing special qualification are required.*

Thirdly, it advises the President in specified matters.

1. *“all matters relating to methods of recruitment to civil services and for civil posts;*
2. *the principles to be followed in making appointments to civil services and posts and in making promotions and transfers from the service to another and on the suitability of candidates for such appointments promotions or transfer;*
3. *all disciplinary matters affecting a person serving under the Government of India or the Government of a State in a civil capacity, including memorials or petitions relating to such matter;*
4. *any claim in respect of a person who is serving or has served under the govt. of India in a civil capacity, that any cost incurred by him in defending, legal proceedings instituted against him in respect of acts done or purporting to be done in the execution of his duty should be paid out of the consolidated fund of India;*
5. *any claim for the award of a pension in respect of injuries sustained by a person while serving under the government of India in a civil capacity and any question as to the amount of such award;*
6. *any other matter which may be referred to it by the President for advice.”*

It is usually obligatory for the Government of India to consult the Union public Service Commission in respect of all the above matters. However, the President has the power to make regulations, specifying the matters in which, either generally or in particular circumstances the commission may not be consulted. Under the Union Public Service Commission (exemption from consultation) regulations framed by the President in 1958, it is not obligatory for the President to consult the U.P.S.C. in some cases.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION – NOT PART OF SOLUTION.

For Information the cases are as follows:

1. Posts in respect of which the authority of appointment, has specifically been conferred by the constitution in the President,
2. Chairman of members of any Board, Tribunal Commission, Committee or any other similar authority, created under a statute or under the authority of a resolution of either Houses of the Parliament or by a resolution of the government of India for conducting an enquiry into any matter or advising the government of specified matters.
3. Heads of diplomatic, consular and other similar Indian Missions in other countries,
4. Personal staff attached to the holders of the posts mentioned in categories mentioned above,
5. Posts in the Secretariat of the LokSabha and RajyaSabha,
6. All technical and administrative posts in or under the Atomic Energy Commission,
7. Judicial Commissioners and Additional Judicial Commissioners, District Judges and Additional District Judges of the Union Territories and the subordinate Judges and Munsifs in the Union Territories of Manipur, Tripura and

Himachal Pradesh,

8. All class HI and class IV posts,
9. Posts concerned with the administration of North-East Frontier Agency and
10. any service or post in respect of which the commission has agreed that it is not necessary for it to be consulted. The temporary and officiating appointments can also be made without consulting the U.P.S.C. provided the incumbent is not likely to hold the post for more than a year. But intimation has to be sent to the commission regarding such appointment as soon as the posts are filled. Similarly there is no need to make any reference to the commission regarding the reservation of posts in favour of backward classes, Scheduled Castes, Schedule Tribes

a) A common tendency in modern democracies is to confer discretionary power on the government or administrative officers. The power is usually couched in very broad phraseology and gives a large area of choice to the administrator concerned to apply the law to actual factual situations. This creates the danger of official arbitrariness which is subversive of the doctrine of equality. To mitigate this danger, the courts have invoked Art. 14 (20m)

In order to ensure that discretion is properly exercised, it is necessary that the statute in question lays down some norms or principles according to which the administrator has to exercise the discretion. Many a time the statutes do not do this and leave the administrator free to exercise his power according to his judgment. This creates the danger of official arbitrariness which is subversive of the doctrine of equality. To mitigate this danger, the courts have invoked Art. 14.

ESSENTIALS:

This principle manifests itself in the form of the following propositions:

- (1) A law conferring unguided and unrestricted power on an authority is bad for arbitrary power is discriminatory.
- (2) Art. 14 illegalises discrimination in the actual exercise of any discretionary power.
- (3) Art. 14 strikes at arbitrariness in administrative action and ensures fairless and equality of treatment.

FIRST ELEMENT : CONFERRING ABSOLUTE DISCRETION:

The Court can veto any conferment of discretionary power on an authority if it is too broad, sweeping or uncanalised.

Govt. of Andhra Pradesh v. P. Laxmi Devi, (2008) 4 SCC 720 : AIR 2008 SC 1640

The court has reiterated the principle that mere likelihood of abuse of discretionary power conferred under statute would not render the statutory provision unconstitutional.

SECOND ELEMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE DISCRIMINATION: The first proposition discussed above envisages that where a statute is discriminatory either because it does not make a reasonable classification, or confers unregulated discretion on the executive, the statute itself is void under Art. 14.

CLASSIC CASE OF *YickWo v. Hopkins* 118, U.S. 356

The classic case on the point is *YickWo v. Hopkins*, an American case. By an ordinance, the City of San Francisco made it unlawful to carry on a laundry, without the consent of the board of supervisors, except in a brick or stone building. In administering, the ordinance, 200 Chinese launderers were denied permission, even though they complied with every requisite, while 80 non-Chinese under similar circumstances had been permitted. ***Lumsden Club v. State of Punjab, AIR 1957 Punj. 20***

The Excise Commissioner banned the sale of liquor at the Lumsden Club but not at other clubs which were in similar position. The order was quashed as there was unjust discrimination. There could be a situation where discretion though conferred subject to a standard or policy, may be exercised in disregard of the policy. If so, it can be challenged under Art. 14.

THIRD ELEMENT: ARBITRARY STATE ACTION : Art. 14 out-laws arbitrary administrative action. When there is arbitrariness in state action, Art. 14 springs into action and the courts strike down such action. Arbitrary state action infringes Art. 14. A very fascinating aspect of Art. 14 which the courts in India have developed over time is that Art. 14 embodies “a guarantee against arbitrariness” on the part of the Administration.

As the Supreme Court has observed in *Royappa*: “from a positivistic point of view, equality is antithetic to arbitrariness.” Any action that is arbitrary must necessarily involve the negation of equality. Abuse of power is hit by Art. 14. The authority endowed with a power must free itself from political interference. The new orientation being given to Art. 14 by the courts has been explained by BHAGWATI, J., in ***Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab***. Rule of law which permeates the entire fabric of the Indian Constitution excludes arbitrariness.

“Wherever we find arbitrariness or unreasonableness there is denial of rule of law.” Art. 14 enacts primarily a guarantee against arbitrariness and inhibits state action, whether legislative or executive, which suffers from the view of arbitrariness. “Every state action must be non-arbitrary and reasonable. Otherwise, the Court would strike it down as invalid.”

(a) RIGHT OF HEARING

In some cases, the Courts have insisted, with a view to control arbitrary action on the part of the administration, that the person adversely affected by administrative action be given the right of being heard before the Administration passes an order against him. It is believed that such a procedural safeguard may minimise the chance of the Administration passing an arbitrary order. Thus, the Supreme Court has extracted from Art. 14 the principle that natural justice is an integral part of administrative process.

Art. 14 guarantees a right of hearing to the person adversely affected by an administrative order. As the Supreme Court has observed in the case noted below, “*The audialterampartem* rule, in essence, enforces the equality clause in Art. 14 and it is applicable not only to *quasi*-judicial bodies but also to administrative orders adversely affecting the party in question unless the rule has been excluded by the Act in question.” *Maneka Gandhi* is an authority for the proposition that the principles of natural justice are an integral part of the guarantee of equality assured by Art. 14.

(b) JUDICIAL DISCRETION:

Discretion vested in a judicial officer exercisable on the facts and circumstances of each particular case may not amount to a denial of equal protection unless “there is shown to be present in it an element of intentional and purposeful discrimination.”

1. *Budhan v. State of Bihar*, AIR 1955 SC 191 : (1955) 1 SCR 1045. The discretion of judicial officers is not arbitrary as the law provides for revision by superior courts of orders passed by subordinate courts
2. *Jagmohan Singh v. State of Uttar Pradesh*, AIR 1973 SC 947 : (1973) 1 SCC 20. The discretion given to the judge to sentence an accused convicted of murder either to death or to imprisonment for life is not invalid under Art. 14. The judge has to balance all the aggravating and mitigating circumstances of the case and record his reasons in writing for awarding lesser punishment.

GRANT OF BENEFITS BY THE STATE : A welfare state has wide power to regulate and dispense leases, licenses, contracts, etc. The modern state is a source of great wealth and, therefore, questions often arise whether it is bound by any norm in dispensing its largess. In India, it is now well established that in dispensing its largess, the state is expected not to act as a private individual but should act in conformity with certain healthy standards and norms.

Erusian Equipment and Chemicals Ltd. v. State of West Bengal, AIR 1975 SC 266 : (1975) 1 SCC 70. “The state need not enter into any contract with anyone, but if it does so, it must do so fairly without discrimination and without unfair procedure.”

Asiatic Labour Corp. v. Union of India, AIR 1983 Guj.86; *Durga Associates, Raipur v. State of U.P.*, AIR 1982 All 490.

Every action of the government must be informed with reason and should be free from arbitrariness because government is always a government.

Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India, (2000) 8 SCC 606 : AIR 2001 SC 80. Award of contract by the Government of India to a private party for development of medium size oil fields was challenged through a public interest litigation on the ground of non-application of mind. But the Court rejected the contention because on facts the contention was not substantiated. The Court also ruled that whether the oilfield was to be developed by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission on a stand alone basis was a matter of policy and the Court would not interfere with the same. If the Court is satisfied that there have been “unreasonableness, *mala fide*, collateral considerations” in awarding a contract then the Court can quash the award of contract. As to the agreed price for purchase of oil extracted from the oil field in question, the Court said that this was a highly “technical and complex” problem and the Court was not qualified to probe into this matter

e) “The contribution of Rs.1 from the public exchequer cannot be dubbed as illusory so as to invalidate the acquisition”. Critically analyse the concept of eminent domain.

A. ORIGIN :

- The expression “eminent domain seems to have been first used in 1625 by the international jurist Hugo Grotius in his work ‘De Jure Belii ac Pacis’, now it is accepted principle of constitutional law in almost all important countries
- The Government ‘s sovereign authority to seize private property for public use must be subjected to payment of just compensation originated at English common law and appeared in America as early as the seventeenth century

B. MEANING:

- Doctrine of ‘Eminent domain’ means the supreme power of the king or the government under which property of any person can be taken over in the interest of general public.
- Eminent Domain is power of the sovereign to acquire property of an individual for public use without the necessity of his consent.
- It is based on two maxims namely *salus populi supreme lex esto* which means that the welfare of the people is the paramount law and *necessita public major est* quon, which means that public necessity is greater than the private necessity

C. INDIA : .

- The power of the State to take private property for public use and consequent right of the owner to compensate now emerge from the constitution of India.
- In entry 42 list III of seventh schedule under Indian Constitution, both union and States government are empowered to enact laws relating to acquisition of property.
- The use of eminent domain power for land acquisition is also justified when the public purpose in question can be served by only a specific piece of land, which has no substitute.

D. CASE LAWS:

In *Chiranjit Lal v. Union of India*, Supreme Court held that the eminent domain is the inherent right in every sovereign State to take and appropriate the private property belonging to an individual for public purpose. The State under its police power also regulates the use and enjoyment of private property. The police power can, however, be distinguished from eminent domain power. While under police power, State merely regulates the use and enjoyment of property; under the eminent domain, State can take the property from the owner for public use

The Supreme Court in *Sooraram Reddy v. Collector, Ranga Reddy District*, has articulated the following grounds for review of this power: (i) malafide exercise of power; (ii) a public purpose that is only apparently a public purpose but in reality a private purpose or collateral purpose; (iii) an acquisition without following the procedure under the Act; (iv) when the acquisition is unreasonable or irrational; (v) when the acquisition is not a public purpose at all and the fraud on the statute is apparent.

E. Eminent Domain and the Land Acquisition Act

One of the most significant statute concerned with the exercise of the right of eminent domain in India was the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Land Acquisition Act, 1894 :Under the 1894 statute there were broadly two forms of recognised expropriation:

one, acquisition for public purpose for governmental use, and two, forced transfer of land from private individuals to corporations for the latter's commercial use. In the case of acquisitions intended to benefit companies, a special procedure was prescribed in Part VII of the Land Acquisition Act, which incorporated additional safeguards to ensure that governments don't abuse their avowed power of eminent domain.

F. Property rights and the state

- Earlier Right to Property is a Fundamental Right under the list of freedoms = Article 19(1)(f) + Article 31, which provided that state can acquire properties of individual for the public purpose by paying compensation to the landowner, provided such acquisition was backed by suitable legislation.
- In 1978, Parliament enacted the 44th amendment to the Constitution = deleted Article 19(1)(f) and Article 31, and made the right to property to a mere non-fundamental status. Why? Government need to carry upon land reforms to set right the inequalities among the farmers.
- But did it achieve the real purpose of deleting FR? No. By citing "public purpose"= both the Union and the various State governments have routinely acquired land for the benefit of private industry or rich, often at grave costs incurred by small farmers.

G. The latitude of 'public purpose':

CONCEPT OF EMINENT DOMAIN : State, for PUBLIC PURPOSE, can acquire private properties by paying nominal compensation.

Preposterous Judgements of SC :

- ✓ **"the contribution of Rs.1 from the public exchequer cannot be dubbed as illusory so as to invalidate the acquisition" / Even 1 rupees is good as compensation for the landowner. You cannot challenge it.**³*Manubhai Jehtalal Patel V. State of Gujarat.*
- ✓ **Acquiring Land for Diamond Industry/ park is not bad; as it would generate a "good deal of foreign exchange" and would create "employment potential".** *PRATIBHA NEMA & ORS V. STATE OF M.P. & ORS*
- ✓

But this time, SC HELD THAT = the government's acquisition of land in singur for the purported use by Tata Motors Limited to construct a car factory, they held, was in violation of the procedural mandates of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.*Kedar Nath Yadav v. State of West Bengal.*

Justice Gowda's ruling, Government attempt amounts to **colourable exercise of power**. "Such an acquisition, if allowed to sustain," he wrote, "would lead to the attempt to justify any and every acquisition of land of the most vulnerable sections of the society in the name of 'public purpose' to promote socio-economic development."

GOOD THING :Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act of 2013 (LARR Act) = repeals the 1894 ACT. To whittle down this new legislation,

Tamil Nadu, Rajasthan and Gujarat, among others = legislating a new law = permit takings even in the absence of a direct public purpose.

In other States such as Telangana, plans to delete requirement of consent when acquiring property for private companies so long as the acquisition is for a public purpose.

CONCLUSION : After all, in every state where a right to property is considered sacrosanct, those classes of people without property have little to benefit from. But what we have today in India is a selective preservation of property rights, where the least advantaged amongst us also bears the greatest burden in terms of relinquishing ownership of land. No reasonable theory of justice can validate this terrifying anomaly.

³"the contribution of Rs.1 from the public exchequer cannot be dubbed as illusory so as to invalidate the acquisition".

LAWXPERTSMV PREMIUM TEST SERIES 2017.

EVALUATION REPORT FOR TEST 1- FOR XXX .

COMPONENTS	REMARKS
RELATING THE QUESTIONS TO CURRENT AFFAIRS	REQUIRES SERIOUS IMPROVEMENT
CONCEPTUAL CLARITY	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT
WRITING THE REQUIRED ANSWER	NEEDS LITTLE MORE CONCENTRATION
PRESENTATION	AVERAGE
HANDWRITING(LEGIBILITY)	LEGIBLE – CAN BE IMPROVED
USING TOOLS OF PRESENTATION: <i>POINTS, BULLETIN, CHARTS ETC</i>	MODERATE
WISDOM TO LEAVE THE UNKNOWN ANSWERS	REQUIRES IMPROVEMENT

SCORE : 85/150

QUESTION WISE ANALYSIS

QUESTION NO.	REMARKS	MARKS SCORED
1 a)	Good attempt- but kindly link your answer to current affairs	X/10
b)	Good Attempt- improve presentation clarity use tabular column or point wise writing	X/10
c)	Avoid giving generalized answer- Try remembering landmark dates And few important articles	X/10
d)	Good attempt	X/10
e)	Have deep conceptual understanding	X/10
2 a)	Require serious improvement on concept	X/10
b)	Good attempt	X/15
c)	Good attempt	X/15
4 a)	Exceptional	
b)	Read more and write apt answer	X/10
c)	Be in tune with recent case laws – UPSC shoots them as questions	X /20

DATE	SUBJECT	PORTIONS	REFERENCES
16.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	<p>Constitution and Constitutionalism: The distinctive features of the Constitution.</p> <p>Objectives :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Understanding the differences between constitution and constitutionalism Preamble Written and Modern Constitution Nature of Polity Federalism <p>The distinctive features of the Constitution : Part 2 : <i>Other features</i> as prescribed by other eminent constitutional experts</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Our notes. Module 1.1 and 1.2 on CL <p>Secondary :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> M.P.JAIN : Chapter 1 : concentrate on constitutionalism and federalism part. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 3 on nature of Indian Constitution : Important. D.D.BASU (Shorter version) : Chapter 3. Glance through whole chapter.
17.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	<p>Fundamental Rights</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Definition of State Art. 13 Doctrine of Eclipse Doctrine of Severability Judicial Review Fundamental Rights : Right to equality - Part I : ART.14 	<p>Our notes : Module 2 + 2.1.A</p> <p>Secondary : Same as above.</p>
18.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	<p>Fundamental rights: Right to equality - Part II</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Prohibition of discrimination on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex or place of birth. Art.15. Equality of opportunity in matters of public employment. Art.16. Abolition of Untouchability. Art.17. Abolition of titles. Art.18. Current Affairs. 	<p>Our notes : Module 2.1.B.</p> <p>Secondary : Same as above.</p>
19.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	<p>Fundamental Rights:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> PIL Legal aid Legal services authority <p>Inclusive of Current Happenings.</p>	<p>Our notes : Module 2.2</p> <p>Secondary : Same as above.</p>
20.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	<p>United Nations: Its principal organs, powers, functions and reform.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> United Nations General Assembly United Nations Security Council 	<p>Our Notes: Module 8.1 and 8.2 on IL</p> <p>Secondary :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 22. Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 33.
21.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	<p>International Court of Justice</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction Composition Jurisdiction Other issues <p>Current Affairs</p>	<p>Module 8.3 on IL</p> <p>Secondary :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 19. Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 38.
22.01.17	COMPETITION LAW	<p>Competition law – an overview And Current Affairs</p>	<p>Our notes on Competition law.</p> <p>Secondary :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Avatar Singh T.Ramapa

DATE	SUBJECT	PORTIONS	REFERENCES
23.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Nature and Definition of International Law: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Origin of IL 2. Nature and definition of IL 3. International Law Today (Scope) 4. Including currents events. 	Our Notes: Module 1.1 on IL Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 1. 4. Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 2,3.
24.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Nature and Definition of International Law: Sources of International Law : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Custom <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Introduction ▪ The material fact ▪ What is state practice? ▪ Opiniojuris ▪ Protest, acquiescence and change in customary law ▪ Regional and local custom ii. Treaties <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ General principles of law ▪ Equity and international law iii. Judicial decisions iv. Writers v. Other possible sources of international law vi. Hierarchy of sources and jus cogens vii. Current events, if any. 	Module 1.2 on IL Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 2. 2.Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 4.
25.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Relationship Between International law and Muncipal Law <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All the theories ▪ Indian practice. ▪ Recent case laws for Indian practices. 	Module 2 on IL Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 4. 2.Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 7.
26.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	State Recognition : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recognition of states and of governments ▪ De facto and de jure recognition ▪ Premature recognition ▪ Implied recognition ▪ Conditional recognition ▪ Collective recognition ▪ Withdrawal of recognition ▪ Non-recognition ▪ The legal effects of recognition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internationally • Internally • The UK • The USA ▪ Indian policy and practice of Recognition. ▪ Current Events 	Module 3.A on IL Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 9. 2.Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 11.
27.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Fundamental rights: Right to freedom Part-I : ART.19	Our notes : Module 2.3.A Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 24 6. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 9
28.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Fundamental Rights: Right to freedom Part-II : ART 20 AND 22	Our notes :Module 2.3.B Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 25 AND 27 2. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 12
29.01.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Fundamental Rights: Right to freedom Part-II : ART 21	Our Notes: Module 2.3.C Secondary : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 26 2. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter

DATE	SUBJECT	PORTIONS	REFERENCES
30.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Fundamental principles of international humanitarian law - International conventions and contemporary developments.	Our Notes: Module 11.1 on IL and Module 11.2 on IL Secondary : 1.Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 21. 2.Dr.S.K.Kapoor.PART V.
31.01.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Protection and improvement of the human environment: International efforts. PART `1 ▪	Module 15.1 on IL Secondary : 1.Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 15. 2.Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 27.
01.02.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Protection and improvement of the human environment: International efforts. PART II	Module 15.2 on IL Secondary : 1.Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 15. 2.Dr.S.K.Kapoor.Chapter 27.
02.02.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Relationship between fundamental rights, directive principles and fundamental duties.	Our notes : Module 3 Secondary : 7. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 34 8. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 18
03.02.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Peaceful settlement of disputes	Our Notes: Module 9.1 and 9.2 on IL Secondary : Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 18.
04.02.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Lawful recourse to force: aggression, self-defence, intervention PART I	Our Notes: Module 10.1 on IL Secondary : Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 20.
05.02.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	Lawful recourse to force: aggression, self-defence, intervention : PART II + III	Our Notes: Module 10.2 + Module 10.3 on IL Secondary : Malcolm Shaw. Chapter 20.

DATE	SUBJECT	PORTIONS	REFERENCES
06.02.17	WEEK 1-3	REVISION FOR TEST I	N/A
07.02.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW COMPETITION LAW	<p>TEST PORTIONS:</p> <p>CONSTITUTIONAL LAW:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Constitution and Constitutionalism: The distinctive features of the Constitution. 2. Fundamental rights (upto Art.22) - Public interest litigation; Legal Aid; Legal services authority. 3. Relationship between fundamental rights, directive principles and fundamental duties. <p>INTERNATIONAL LAW:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Nature and definition of international law. 5. Relationship between international law and municipal law. 6. State recognition. 7. Fundamental principles of international humanitarian law - International conventions and contemporary developments. 8. United Nations: Its principal organs, powers, functions and reform 9. Protection and improvement of the human environment: International efforts. 10. Peaceful settlement of disputes – different modes. 11. Lawful recourse to force: aggression, selfdefence, intervention <p>COMPETITION LAW</p>	TIMING : 7.30 PM- 9.15.PM
08.02.17	INTERNATIONAL LAW	<p>New international economic order and monetary law:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WTO, 2. TRIPS, 3. GATT 4. IMF, 5. World Bank. 	<p>Our Notes: Module 14.1 and 14.2 on IL</p> <p>Secondary : Dr.S.K.Kapoor. PART IV Myneni ; International Economic law</p>
09.02.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Constitutional position of the President and His relation with the Council of Ministers. PART I	<p>Our notes : Module 4.1</p> <p>Secondary : 9. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 3 10. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 20</p>
10.02.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Constitutional position of the President and His relation with the Council of Ministers. PART II	<p>Our notes : Module 4.2</p> <p>Secondary : 11. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 3 12. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 20</p>

11.02.17	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Governor and his powers. PART I	Our notes : Module 2.3.A Secondary : 13. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 24 14. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 9
12.02.16	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Governor and his powers. PART II	Our notes : Module 2.3.B Secondary : 15. M.P.JAIN : Chapter 24 16. J.N.PANDEY : Chapter 9